Crossref DOI: 10.31643/2026/6445.37 Metallurgy

Study of the kinetics of sorption of praseodymium and neodymium ions using interpolymer systems based on KU-2-8 and AB-17-8 in salt forms

¹Jumadilov T.K., ¹Kabzhalelov K.R., ^{1*}Khimersen Kh., ¹Totkhuskyzy B., ²Mukatayeva Zh.S.

¹A.B. Bekturov Institute of Chemical Sciences JSC, Almaty, Kazakhstan ²Abai Kazakh National Pedagogical University, Almaty, Kazakhstan

* Corresponding author email: khuana88@gmail.com

Received: <i>April 10, 2025</i> Peer-reviewed: <i>May 22, 2025</i> Accepted: <i>June 5, 2025</i>	ABSTRACT This paper presents a study of the kinetics of neodymium and praseodymium ions sorption using interpolymer systems based on KU-2-8 and AB-17-8 in salt forms. Sorption of target ions was carried out in a dynamic mode (with constant stirring) in interpolymer systems in molar ratios of 4:2 and 3:3 (cation exchanger to anion exchanger). Aliquots were collected during certain time intervals, then widely known kinetic models of sorption were used to construct linear graphs. According to the obtained results, the best model for describing sorption was pseudo-first order (the highest value for the 4:2 system = 0.97885 and 0.98112; for the 3:3 system = 0.9647 and 0.98779). Such results are important for understanding the mechanisms of the sorption process and establishing the limiting factor that can slow down this process. The kinetic model of pseudo- first order may indicate the need to improve the washing out of counterions from the polyelectrolyte matrix for their high ionization and accessibility of functional groups for metal ions. This assumption can be used in the future to optimize industrial schemes of ion-exchange sorption of REE.
	<i>Keywords:</i> interpolymer systems, kinetic models, sorption, neodymium and praseodymium ions, cation exchanger KU-2-8, anion exchanger AB-17-8.
Jumadilov Talkybek Kozhatayevich	Information about authors: Doctor of Chemical Sciences, Professor, Head of the laboratory Synthesis and physics and chemistry of polymers, A.B. Bekturov Institute of Chemical Sciences JSC, Almaty, Kazakhstan. E-mail: jumadilov@mail.ru; ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9505-3719
Kabzhalelov Kamil	Engineer, A.B. Bekturov Institute of Chemical Sciences JSC, Almaty, Kazakhstan. Email: kamil_kabhzalelov@outlook.com; ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0009-0008-6030-052X
Khimersen Khuangul	PhD, Researcher, A.B. Bekturov Institute of Chemical Sciences JSC, Almaty, Kazakhstan. E-mail: khuana88@gmail.com; ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5138-5997
Totkhuskyzy Bakytgul	PhD, Researcher, A.B. Bekturov Institute of Chemical Sciences JSC, Almaty, Kazakhstan. E-mail: bakytgul.sakenova@mail.ru; ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8119-668X
Mukatayeva Zhazira Sagatbekovna	Candidate of Chemical Sciences, Associate Professor, Abai Kazakh National Pedagogical University, Almaty, Kazakhstan. E-mail: jazira-1974@mail.ru; ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1584- 5810

Introduction

Rare earth metals are a strategic resource and are increasingly used in various fields. Rare earth elements are a group of 17 metallic elements that share similar chemical properties [1]. REEs consist of the 15 lanthanides, as well as scandium and yttrium lanthanides [[2], [3]]. REEs are typically categorized into light rare earth elements and heavy rare earth elements. The latter have grown crucial for numerous emerging technologies, especially in the green energy sector, including wind turbines, largescale energy storage systems, and new energy vehicles [4]. They also provide a bridge from fossil fuels to low-carbon energy [5]. As for the metals themselves, which are studied in this article, they

also have a huge variety of applications, and therefore, the demand for them will only grow in the future. For example, Nd is applied in supermagnets for disk drives [6], Nd-Fe-B magnets [7], in AL-Mgalloys, and steel, also for magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) contrasting agents [8]. Praseodymium is widely used in magnets [9], optical Fibres, carbonarc lamps [7], in alloys for aircraft engines, capacitors, sensors, and semiconductors, in flat display electronics [8]. Therefore, considering the great need for these rare earth metals, this study aims to study the sorption kinetics to better understand the absorption mechanism, which will improve the technologies of concentration and extraction of target ions. This goal was achieved through several steps: 1) selection of interpolymer

systems for sorption from a model solution; 2) sampling aliquots at certain time intervals; 3) selection of the most suitable kinetic model among the most common in the literature; 4) based on the selection of the most suitable kinetic model, suggest a possible sorption mechanism, a limiting factor, and how this factor can be compensated. The selection of sorption and the composition of interpolymer systems are also supported by the fact that "adsorption provides advantages such as simplicity, low capital investment, low energy usage, and good environmental sustainability, making it the ideal choice for separating and removing rare earth elements"[10]. The development of simple but effective sorbents that can be used repeatedly is an urgent need in modern realities [11].

By now, a huge number of works have accumulated on various methods of extracting REE from primary and secondary sources. Some of them also pay attention to kinetic factors, especially in articles where sorption is the main process. The authors [12] introduced a technique for extracting neodymium from permanent magnet waste (WPM) through a hydrometallurgical process that includes separation using a hollow fiber liquid membrane (HFSLM). Neodymium in WPM was recovered via solvent extraction with D2EHPA. The separation of Nd from Fe was achieved successfully using 0.6 M D2EHPA at an O/A phase ratio of 3, with a pH range of 1.26–2.0, resulting in a neodymium recovery rate of 97.48%.

The extraction of neodymium and other REE from recycled magnets was also studied in the work [13]. They were extracted as oxalates using various amounts of oxalic acid relative to its stoichiometric quantity. When oxalic acid was used in stoichiometric amounts, about 93% of the rare earth elements present in the solution precipitated [13].

Miguel Nogueira et al. [14] used activated carbon obtained from tire rubber after CO_2 activation for sorption, and the most effective adsorbent achieved a maximum absorption capacity of 24.7 mg g⁻¹ for Nd³⁺ and 34.4 mg g⁻¹ for Dy³⁺. The authors also noted that the synthesized adsorbents made from waste materials demonstrated better performance than commercially available activated carbon. This study also has environmental implications, as it demonstrated the potential use of waste to create effective adsorbents.

Organic acids, such as acetic and oxalic acids, have been used for Nd leaching, showing promising efficiencies. Under standard conditions ($27^{\circ}C$, 3 M acid concentration, 20 g/L pulp density, 200 rpm stirring, 500 µm particle size, 300 min leaching), Nd extraction reached 50% with acetic acid and 44% with oxalic acid [15]. However, optimized parameters (80°C, 0.4 M acetic acid, 10 g/L pulp density, 106–150 μ m particle size) significantly increased Nd extraction to 99.99% [16].

Recent research introduces electrokinetic mining (EKM) as an innovative technique for extracting REEs from ion-adsorption deposits (IADs) while addressing environmental concerns associated with conventional ammonium-salt-based leaching methods. Traditional methods exhibit low recovery efficiencies (40-60%) and contribute to significant water contamination and soil degradation. EKM applies an electric field to facilitate the selective migration of ions, significantly reducing the need for chemical leaching agents (by up to 80%). Experimental results demonstrate that EKM achieves 90-96% recovery efficiency, outperforming conventional techniques. Furthermore, the method results in higher purity REEs, with up to 70% fewer metallic impurities [4].

Deep eutectic solvents (DESs) have gained attention as a viable substitute for aqueous solutions in the recovery of valuable metals from NdFeB magnets. A study [17] investigated a DES composed of choline chloride and lactic acid in a 1:2 molar ratio for the leaching of REEs and other metals. A separation process was devised using Aliquat 336 SCN diluted in toluene to effectively extract Fe, B, and Co from Nd and Dy. Purified Dy was recovered by water stripping, while Nd was precipitated using oxalic acid, yielding Nd₂O₃ (99.87% purity) and Dy₂O₃ (99.94% purity).

The use of chelate sorbents has also become a popular direction for research and development for the selective extraction of REE ions. For example, ion-exchange resins IRC-747 and TP-260 have shown high affinity for REEs from highly concentrated 4 M H_3PO_4 produced by OCP. These resins achieve REE extraction yields ranging from 20% to 60% [18].

The ion exchange process is highly selective and environmentally friendly, making it effective for treating low metal concentrations. However, at the same time, there are also some difficulties: high cost of selective chelating separation materials and limited capacity, slow desorption kinetics and the need for selection of desorbing reagents [[19], [20], [21]].

It is worth noting that many works have been devoted to the problem of extracting REE from model solutions, as well as from primary and secondary sources using various cross-linked polyelectrolytes. The general direction of all these works is the search for high extraction efficiency, selectivity, and optimal conditions. For example, industrial sorbents Purolite S940 and Lewatit TP 260 were used in the work [[22], [23]] to extract neodymium and scandium from sulfate solutions. According to the results, neodymium strongly bound with functional groups to form coordination bonds, and scandium sorption was strongly dependent on the sulfate concentration. In two other experiments 22] were used cation exchangers with the same functional group as in our work (sulfo group) to extract neodymium and separate it from calcium, iron and strontium. An important discovery was that calcium and neodymium ions compete with each other during sorption on the polymer matrix.

Our research is aimed at using interpolymer systems, which are composed of cationite and anionite. When in one solution, they mutually activate each other, changing the most important characteristics (pH, electrical conductivity, and swelling degree increase) [[24], [25], [26]]. In our previous studies, we considered interpolymer systems based on various industrial sorbents for the extraction of REE from solutions [[27], [28]]. In these works, the sorption characteristics of a mixture of praseodymium and neodymium, as well as lute-tium individually, were demonstrated using mutually activated cross-linked polyelectrolytes. It was possible to find that the interpolymer system has increased sorption characteristics compared to its application. It was also possible to find that in the static mode, greater selectivity to one of the REEs is possible. However, at the moment, no experiments have been conducted to study the kinetics of sorption in such systems, so this work will pay more attention to this aspect.

Experimental part

Equipment. The required mass of the ion exchanger was measured using a Shimadzu TX-423 electronic analytical balance. The residual concentration was determined using an atomic emission analysis (Thermo Scientific[™] iCAP[™] PRO XP ICP-OES, USA).

Materials. In the experiment, aqueous solutions of neodymium and praseodymium hexahydrate nitrate with a concentration of 30 mg/l were used (Sigma-Aldrich, Darmstadt, Germany). Ion-exchange resins in salt forms: KU-2-8 (Na⁺) strongly acidic (Azot, Cherkassy, Ukraine) cation exchanger and strongly basic AB-17-8 (Cl⁻) (Azot, Cherkassy, Ukraine) gel-type anion, were used.

Experiment. An interpolymer system was created using KU-2-8 and AB-17-8 in a molar ratio of

4:2 and 3:3. These ratios were chosen for study based on previous work. In it, these interpolymer systems showed satisfactory sorption indices (extraction degree and sorption capacity). The masses of ionites in these systems were: 4:2 (0.079 g.: 0.041g.); 3:3 (0.059 g.: 0.062g.). Then, sorption was carried out in solutions containing neodymium and praseodymium nitrates. Aliquots were collected during the following time intervals: 5 min, 10 min, 15 min, 30 min, 1 h, 2 h, 3 h, 4.5 h, 6 h. The solutions were stirred using a magnetic stirrer, since in static mode, the sorption processes occur slowly. The main task of the algorithm of this experiment is to find which of the kinetic models best describes the process of sorption of these rare earth metals by interpolymer systems.

Results and Discussion

Kinetics in sorption processes characterizes the rates of sorbate adsorption and desorption from an aqueous solution onto a solid phase. The kinetic analysis can be linear or nonlinear, depending on the system's complexity and the underlying mechanisms. In our work, we used several popular models of sorption kinetics to establish the mechanism and limiting factors of REE extraction using activated interpolymer systems. These models are: pseudo-first order, pseudo-second order, Elovich model, and intraparticle diffusion.

Pseudo first-order kinetic model fit

Widely used models to describe adsorption kinetics are the pseudo-second order (PS2) and pseudo-first order models (PS1) [[29], [30]]. These models are adaptations for heterogeneous systems, in which it is assumed that the concentration of the sorbent does not change over time. Also, in these models, the value of adsorption capacity is important. The equation for the pseudo-first order model and its linear form are usually presented as follows [[29], [31]]:

$$q(t) = q_e(1 - e^{-k_1 t})$$
(1)

$$ln[q_e - q(t)] = -k_1 t + lnq_e$$
 (2)

The Pseudo-First-Order (PFO) kinetic model posits that the adsorption rate is directly proportional to the difference between the maximum adsorption capacity and the current amount of adsorbate already adsorbed at any given time. This means the adsorption process is primarily controlled by the concentration of the sorbate in the solution. It is typically used when the adsorption process is diffusion-controlled, meaning mass transfer plays a significant role.

In this work, we used the linear form of the equation to plot the graph. Figures 1 and 2 show a linear approximation for the sorption kinetics of neodymium and praseodymium in different systems.



Figure 1 - Pseudo first order kinetics for neodymium and praseodymium sorption in a 4:2 system



Figure 2 - Pseudo first order kinetics for neodymium and praseodymium sorption in a 3:3 system

According to the values of the quantity, the pseudo-first-order model describes well the sorption of both ions on the cation exchanger in interpolymer systems. However, this partly contradicts expectations because this model assumes physical adsorption and dependence on the concentration gradient. In turn, it is known that cross-linked polyelectrolytes contain functional groups with which metal ions directly interact (formation of ionic or coordination bonds). The model suggests that adsorption is driven by mass transfer, which occurs due to a concentration gradient between the solution and the adsorbent surface [32]. Therefore, the unexpected good pseudo-first-order correspondence can be explained

by the following assumptions. A field of counterions is formed around the charged polyelectrolyte, which is replaced by metal ions. At a certain moment, counterions begin to prevent the solution and other REE ions from penetrating the polymer matrix, so despite the chemical interaction between the functional groups and REE ions in the solution, the limiting factor becomes mass transfer.

Pseudo-second order kinetic model fit

The linear form of the equation for pseudo second order is represented by the following formula [31]:

$$\frac{t}{q_t} = \left(\frac{1}{q_e}\right)t + \frac{1}{k_2 q_e^2} \tag{3}$$

The Pseudo-Second-Order (PSO) model, also referred to as the Ho and McKay equation [33], is commonly employed to describe the adsorption of solutes from solution. The model is most applicable when adsorption is controlled by chemical interactions (chemisorption) rather than diffusion. The adsorption process depends on the availability of active sites rather than just mass transfer, meaning it is not solely controlled by diffusion [34].



Figure 3 - Pseudo second order kinetics for neodymium and praseodymium sorption in a 4:2 system





= 24 =

According to the coefficient of determination in both systems (figures 3 and 4), both praseodymium and neodymium also correspond well to the pseudosecond order, but slightly less than the pseudo-first order. This is also contrary to expectations, since sorption on polyelectrolytes is usually a chemical process. But based on previous discussions of mass transfer, we conclude that although both models yielded high coefficients of determination, the actual mechanism and limiting factors are complex processes.

Elovich model

The Elovich model is commonly employed to characterize adsorption kinetics, particularly in systems where the sorbent surface is energetically heterogeneous and chemisorption is the ratecontrolling step [[35], [36]]. This model posits that the adsorption rate diminishes over time as the surface coverage increases, resulting in a progressive reduction of available active sites. The linear equation for this model can be expressed by the following formula [36]:

$$q_t = \frac{1}{\beta} ln(\alpha\beta) + \frac{1}{\beta} ln(t)$$
(4)

where α is the initial rate of adsorption (mg.g-1.min⁻¹) and β is the desorption constant (g.mg⁻¹) Figures 5 and 6 show graphs for the Elovich model.

According to the determination coefficient, this model describes the kinetics of neodymium and praseodymium sorption worse than the pseudo-first and pseudo-second order. This indirectly confirms that chemisorption is not a determining factor.

Intra-particle diffusion

The intraparticle diffusion model explains how adsorbate molecules, like dyes, move from the bulk solution into the solid adsorbent phase. This transport process often acts as the rate-limiting step in adsorption, especially in rapidly stirred batch reactors [37]. The formula that describes this model can be represented as follows:

$$q_t = K_{dif} t^{0.5} + C (5)$$

where C (mg*g⁻¹) is the intercept and Kdif is the intraparticle diffusion rate constant (in mg*g⁻¹ min^{-1/2}).



Figure 5 - Elovich model for neodymium and praseodymium sorption in a 4:2 system



Figure 6 - Elovich model for neodymium and praseodymium sorption in a 3:3 system



Figure 7 - Intraparticle diffusion model for neodymium and praseodymium sorption in a 4:2 system



Figure 8 - Intraparticle diffusion model for neodymium and praseodymium sorption in a 3:3 system

Based on the determination coefficient, it can be concluded that this model also satisfactorily describes the sorption kinetics for neodymium and praseodymium in two systems (Figures 7 and 8). Thus, the pseudo-first-order and Elovich models turned out to be the most suitable for describing the processes occurring in interpolymer systems. The good fit of the intraparticle diffusion model suggests that mass transfer resistance within the resin plays a significant role.

Tables 1, 2 summarise the results of the linear correlation of all four sorption models (in the 4:2 system and 3:3 system) relative to the determination coefficient presented in the work.

 $\label{eq:constraint} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{Table 1} \ \textbf{-} \ \textbf{Determination coefficient for Nd and Pr in 4:2} \\ system \end{array}$

Kinetic model	Nd (R ²)	Pr (R ²)
Pseudo first	0.97885	0.98112
Pseudo second	0.95875	0.95719
Elovich	0.93429	0.93294
Intraparticle diffusion	0.97614	0.97692

Table 2 - Determination coefficient for the Nd and Pr 3:3system

	-	_
Kinetic model	Nd (R²)	Pr (R²)
Pseudo first	0.9647	0.98779
Pseudo second	0.95227	0.95822
Elovich	0.94492	0.94484
Intraparticle diffusion	0.97043	0.98003

Conclusions

This study investigated the sorption kinetics of neodymium (Nd³⁺) and praseodymium (Pr³⁺) ions using interpolymer systems KU-2-8:AB-17-8. Experimental data were fitted to pseudo-first-order, pseudo-second-order, Elovich, and intraparticle diffusion models to determine the best kinetic description.

The pseudo-first-order model (PFO) provided the best fit, with R2 values of 0.97885-0.98779, suggesting that mass transfer limitations and external diffusion play a key role in sorption kinetics. The pseudo-second-order model (PSO) also showed good agreement but performed slightly worse, indicating that chemisorption is not the sole controlling mechanism. The Elovich model, which typically describes heterogeneous chemisorption, exhibited the poorest fit, confirming that physical interactions and counterion exchange dominate the process. The intraparticle diffusion model demonstrated that internal diffusion resistance contributes to the sorption rate but is not the only limiting step.

The findings indicate that sorption kinetics in interpolymer systems are influenced by the counterion exchange effect, external mass transfer, and intraparticle diffusion. These insights can be applied to improve industrial ion-exchange sorption technologies for rare earth element recovery. Future studies should focus on the thermodynamics of the process, the effect of pH control, and the long-term regeneration efficiency of the interpolymer system. Another important conclusion is that under active stirring both metals are sorbed almost equally, which confirms our previous results regarding static and dynamic regimes.

Conflicts of interest. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

CRediT author statement: **T.** Jumadilov: Conceptualization, Methodology, Validation and Data curation; **K. Kabzhalelov:** Formal analysis, Investigation, Data curation and Writing - Original draft preparation; **Kh. Khimersen:** Writing - review and editing, Data curation, Visualization; **B. Totkhuskyzy:** Validation and Supervision; **Zh. Mukatayeva:** Software and Validation. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding. This work was supported by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Republic of Kazakhstan [Grant No. BR27101179].

Cite this article as: Jumadilov TK, Kabzhalelov KR, Khimersen Kh, Totkhuskyzy B, Mukatayeva Zh. Study of the kinetics of sorption of praseodymium and neodymium ions using interpolymer systems based on KU-2-8 and AB-17-8 in salt forms. Kompleksnoe Ispolzovanie Mineralnogo Syra = Complex Use of Mineral Resources. 2026; 339(4):21-29. https://doi.org/10.31643/2026/6445.37

Тұз түріндегі КУ-2-8 және АВ-17-8 негізіндегі интерполимерлі жүйелерді қолдану арқылы празеодим және неодим иондарының сорбциялану кинетикасын зерттеу

¹Джумадилов Т.К., ¹Кабжалелов К.Р, ^{1*}Химэрсэн Х., ¹Тотхусқызы Б., ²Мукатаева Ж.С.

¹Ә.Б. Бектұров атындағы химия ғылымдары институты АҚ, Алматы, Қазақстан ²Абай атындағы Қазақ ұлттық педагогикалық университеті, Алматы, Қазақстан

	түйіндеме
	Бұл жұмыста тұз түріндегі КУ-2-8 және АВ-17-8 негізіндегі интерполимерлі жүйелерді
	қолдану арқылы неодим және празеодим иондарының сорбциялану кинетикасын зерттеу
	ұсынылған. Мақсатты иондарды сорбциялау динамикалық режимде (тұрақты
	араластырумен) 4:2 және 3:3 молярлық қатынастағы интерполимерлі жүйелерде (катион
Mayana wanzi, 10 aawin 2025	алмастырғыштан анион алмастырғышқа) жүргізілді. Белгіленген уақыт аралықтарында
Мақала келді: <i>10 сәуір 2025</i> Сараптамадан өтті: <i>22 мамыр 2025</i> Кабылланды: 5 <i>маусым 2</i> 025	аликвоттар алынып, сызықтық графиктерді құру үшін сорбцияның белгілі кинетикалық
	улгілері пайдаланылды. Алынған нәтижелер бойынша сорбцияны сипаттаудың ең жақсы
Raobingangon o maycom 2020	улгісі псевло-бірінші ретті молель боллы (4:2 жүйесі үшін ен жоғары мән = 0.97885 және
	0.98112: 3:3 жуйесі ушін = 0.9647 және 0.98779). Мунлай нәтижелер сорбция процесінін
	механизмлерін тусіну және осы процесті баяулататын шектеуші факторды аныктау ушін
	манызды Псевдо-бірінші ретті кинетикалык моделі полимердерді жағары иондануы және
	металлі йондары ушін функционалдық топтардың қолженімділігі ушін полизлектролиттік
	матрицадан қарсы иондарды жууды жақсарту қажеттілігін көрсетут мүмкін. Бұл болжамды
	облашақта слузионалмасу сороциясының өнеркәсіптік схемаларын оңтайландыру үшін
	паидалануға оолады.
	<i>Түшін сөздер:</i> интерполимерлі жүйелер, кинетикалық модельдер, сороция, неодим және
	Празеодим иондары, катионалмастыртыш ку-2-8, анионалмастыртыш кө-17-8.
	Химия ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, полимерлер синтезі және физика химиясы.
Лжумадилов Т.К	зертханасының меңгерушісі. Ә.Б. Бектуров атындағы химия ғылымдары институты АК.
H	Алматы, Казакстан, E-mail: jumadilov@mail.ru: ORCID ID: https://orcid.ora/0000-0001-9505-
	3719
	Бакалавр, инженер, Ә.Б. Бектұров атындағы химия ғылымдары институты АҚ, Алматы,
Кабжалелов К.Р.	Қазақстан. Email: kamil_kabhzalelov@outlook.com; ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0009-0008-
	6030-052X
	PhD, ғылыми қызметкер, Ә.Б. Бектұров атындағы химия ғылымдары институты АҚ,
Химэрсэн Х.	Алматы, Қазақстан. E-mail: khuana88@gmail.com; ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-
	5138-5997
	PhD, ғылыми қызметкер, Ә.Б. Бектұров атындағы химия ғылымдары институты АҚ,
Тотхусқызы Б.	Алматы, Қазақстан. E-mail: bakytgul.sakenova@mail.ru; ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-
	0001-8119-668X
	Химия ғылымдарының кандидаты, қауымдастырылған профессор, Абай атындағы Қазақ
Мукатаева Ж. С.	ұлттық педагогикалық университеті, Алматы, Қазақстан. E-mail: jazira-1974@mail.ru;
	UKUD ID: https://orcia.org/0000-0002-1584-5810

Изучение кинетики сорбции ионов празеодима и неодима интерполимерными системами на основе КУ-2-8 и АВ-17-8 в солевых формах

¹Джумадилов Т.К., ¹Кабжалелов К.Р, ^{1*}Химэрсэн Х., ¹Тотхусқызы Б., ²Мукатаева Ж.С.

¹АО Институт химических наук им. А.Б.Бектурова, Алматы, Казахстан ²Казахский национальный педагогический университет имени Абая, Алматы, Казахстан

	АППОТАЦИЯ
	В данной работе представлено исследование кинетики сорбции ионов неодима и
	празеодима интерполимерными системами на основе КУ-2-8 и АВ-17-8 в солевых формах.
	Сорбцию целевых ионов проводили в динамическом режиме (при постоянном
	перемешивании) в интерполимерных системах в мольных соотношениях 4:2 и 3:3 (катионит
Rear	к аниониту). Аликвоты отбирались через определенные промежутки времени, затем для
Поступила: <i>10 апреля 2025</i> Рецензирование: <i>22 мая 2025</i> Принята в печать: <i>5 июня 2025</i>	построения линейных графиков использовались широко известные кинетические модели
	сорбщии. Согласно полученным результатам, наилучшей молелью для описания сорбщии
	оказалась молель псевлопервого порядка (наибольшее значение для системы 4:2 = 0.97885
	механизмов процесса сороции и установления лимитирующего фактора, спосооного
	замедлить этот процесс. кинетическая модель псевдопервого порядка может указывать на
	необходимость улучшения вымывания противоионов из полиэлектролитнои матрицы для
	их высокой ионизации и доступности функциональных групп для ионов металлов. Данное
	предположение может быть использовано в дальнейшем для оптимизации промышленных
	схем ионообменной сорбции РЗЭ.
	Ключевые слова: интерполимерные системы, кинетические модели, сорбция, ионы
	неодима и празеодима, катионит КУ-2-8, анионит АВ-17-8.
	Информация об авторах:
Джумадилов Т.К.	Доктор химических наук, профессор, заведующий лабораторией синтеза и физикохимии
	полимеров, АО Институт химических наук им. А.Б.Бектурова, Алматы, Казахстан. Е-тан: iumadilou@mail.ru; ORCID.ID: https://orcid.org/0000.0001.0505.2710
	Junidanov@main.ru, OKCID ID. https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9303-3719
Кабжалелов К.Р.	Бакаловр, анженер, до институт хамаческах наук ам. д.б.белтурова, дляаты, Казахстан Email: kamil kabhzalelov@outlook.com: ORCID ID: https://orcid.ora/0009-0008-
Ruomunenos n.r.	6030-052X
Химэрсэн Х.	РhD. научный сотрудник. АО Институт химических наук им. А.Б.Бектурова. Алматы.
	Казахстан. E-mail: khuana88@gmail.com; ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5138-5997
Тотхусқызы Б.	PhD, научный сотрудник, АО Институт химических наук им. А.Б.Бектурова, Алматы,
	Казахстан. E-mail: bakytgul.sakenova@mail.ru; ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8119-
	668X
	Кандидат химических наук, ассоциированный профессор, Казахский национальный
Мукатаева Ж. С.	Кандидат химических наук, ассоциированный профессор, Казахский национальный педагогический университет имени Абая, Алматы, Казахстан. E-mail: jazira-1974@mail.ru;

ALILIOTALIJAG

References

[1] Papagianni S, Moschovi AM, Sakkas KM, Chalaris M, Yakoumis I. Preprocessing and Leaching Methods for Extraction of REE from Permanent Magnets: A Scoping Review. AppliedChem. 2022; 2(14):199-212. https://doi.org/10.3390/appliedchem2040014

[2] Binnemans K, Jones PT, Blanpain B, Van Gerven T, Yang Y, Walton A, Buchert M. Recycling of Rare Earths: A Critical Review. Journal of Cleaner Production. 2013; 51:1–22. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2012.12.037

[3] Gkika DA, Chalaris M, Kyzas GZ. Review of Methods for Obtaining Rare Earth Elements from Recycling and Their Impact on the Environment and Human Health. Processes. 2024; 12:1235. https://doi.org/10.3390/pr12061235

[4] Wang G, Xu J, Ran L, Zhu R et al. A green and efficient technology to recover rare earth elements from weathering crusts. Nature Sustainability. 2022; 6(1):81-92. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-022-00989-3

[5] Depraiter L, Goutte S. The role and challenges of rare earths in the energy transition. Resources Policy. 2023; 86(6):104-137. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2023.104137

[6] Balaram V. Rare earth elements: A review of applications, occurrence, exploration, analysis, recycling, and environmental impact. Geoscience Frontiers. 2019; 10(4):1285-1303. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gsf.2018.12.005

[7] Hurst C. China's Rare Earth Elements Industry: What Can the West Learn? Institute for the Analysis of Global Security; Fort Leavenworth. 2010. KS, USA.

[8] Filho WL. et al. Understanding rare earth elements as critical raw materials. Sustainability. 2023; 15(3):1919.

[9] Humphries M. Rare Earth Elements: The Global Supply Chain: Congressional Research Service. The Library of Congress. 2010. Washington, DC, USA.

[10] Zhang W, Li Ch, Xu Q, Hu K, Chen H, Liu Y, Wan Y, Zhang J, Li X. Effective adsorption and recovery of rare earth elements from wastewater by activated talc. Applied Clay Science. 2024; 251. https://doi.org/107312. 10.1016/j.clay.2024.107312

[11] Asadollahzadeh M, Torkaman R, Torab-Mostaedi M. Extraction and separation of rare earth elements by adsorption approaches: current status and future trends. Separation & Purification Reviews. 2021; 50(4):1-28. https://doi.org/10.1080/15422119.2020.1792930

[12] NI'AM A C. et al. Recovery of neodymium from waste permanent magnets by hydrometallurgy using hollow fibre supported liquid membranes. Solvent Extraction Research and Development. 2020; 27(2):69-80. https://doi.org/10.15261/serdj.27.69

[13] Klemettinen A. et al. Recovery of rare earth elements from the leaching solutions of spent NdFeB permanent magnets by selective precipitation of rare earth oxalates. Minerals. 2023; 13(7):846. https://doi.org/10.3390/min13070846

[14] Nogueira M. et al. Recovery of Nd³⁺ and Dy³⁺ from E-Waste Using Adsorbents from Spent Tyre Rubbers: Batch and Column Dynamic Assays. Molecules. 2024; 30(1):92. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules30010092

[15] Erust C, Akcil A, Tuncuk A, Deveci H, Yazici EY. A Multi-stage Process for Recovery of Neodymium (Nd) and Dysprosium (Dy) from Spent Hard Disc Drives (HDDs). Miner. Process. Extr. Metall. Rev. 2019; 42:90-101. https://doi.org/10.1080/08827508.2019.1692010

[16] Behera SS, Parhi PK. Leaching kinetics study of neodymium from the scrap magnet using acetic acid. Separation and Purification Technology. 2016; 160:59-66. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2016.01.014

[17] Riaño S, Petranikova M, Onghena B. Separation of rare earths and other valuable metals from deep-eutectic solvents: A new alternative for the recycling of used NdFeB magnets. Rsc Advances. 2017; 7(51):32100-32113. https://doi.org/10.1039/c7ra06540j

[18] Hérès X, Blet V, Di Natale P, Ouaattou A, Mazouz H, Dhiba D, Cuer F. Selective extraction of rare earth elements from phosphoric acid by ion exchange resins. Metals. 2018; 8(9):682. https://doi.org/10.3390/met8090682

[19] Chen Z, Zhan L, Chen J, Kallem P. Recent advances in selective separation technologies of rare earth elements: a review. Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering. 2022; 10(1):107104. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2021.107104

[20] Pereao O, Bode-Aluko Ch, Fatoba O, Petric L. Rare earth elements removal techniques from water/wastewater: A review. Desalination and water treatment. 2018; 130:71-86. https://doi.org/10.5004/dwt.2018.22844

[21] El Ouardi Y, Sami V, Markku L, Eveliina R, Katri L. The recent progress of ion exchange for the separation of rare earths from secondary resources–A review Hydrometallurgy. 2023; 218:106047. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hydromet.2023.106047

[22] Virolainen S, Repo E, Sainio T. Recovering rare earth elements from phosphogypsum using a resin-in-leach process: Selection of resin, leaching agent, and eluent. Hydrometallurgy. 2019; 189:105125. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hydromet.2019.105125

[23] Bao S, Hawker W, Vaughan J. Scandium loading on chelating and solvent impregnated resin from sulfate solution. Solvent extraction and ion exchange. 2018; 36(1):1-14. https://doi.org/10.1080/07366299.2017.1412917

[24] Ismailova ShA, Jumadilov TK, Bekturov EA. Features of complex formation of rare-crosslinked polyacrylic acid with polyacrylamide hydrogel. Izvestiya MES RK NAS RK, ser. chem. 2004; 4:80-85.

[25] Ismailova ShA. Features of interaction of three-dimensional structures based on polycarboxylic acids and nitrogencontaining polymers: dissertation for the degree of candidate of chemical sciences. 02.00.06, Almaty, ICN MES RK. 2006, 109.

[26] Jumadilov T, Totkhuskyzy B, Malimbayeva Z, Kondaurov R, Imangazy A, Khimersen K, Grazulevicius J. Impact of Neodymium and Scandium Ionic Radii on Sorption Dynamics of Amberlite IR120 and AB-17-8 Remote Interaction. Materials. 2021; 14:5402. https://doi.org/10.3390/ma14185402

[27] Talkybek J, Kabzhalelov K, Malimbayeva Z, Korganbayeva Zh. Features of Selective Sorption of Neodymium and Praseodymium Ions by Interpolymer Systems Based on Industrial Sorbents KU-2-8 and AB-17-8. Polymers. 2025; 17(4):440. https://doi.org/10.3390/polym17040440

[28] Jumadilov T, Khimersen Kh, Haponiuk J, Totkhuskyzy B. Enhanced Lutetium Ion Sorption from Aqueous Solutions Using Activated Ion Exchangers. Polymers 2024; 16(2):220. https://doi.org/10.3390/polym16020220

[29] Revellame ED, Fortela D, Sharp W, Hernandez R. Adsorption kinetic modeling using pseudo-first order and pseudo-second order rate laws: A review. Cleaner Engineering and Technology. 2020; 1:100032. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clet.2020.100032

[30] Vareda JP, Valente AJM, Durães L. Heavy metals in Iberian soils: Removal by current adsorbents/amendments and prospective for aerogels. Advances in Colloid and Interface Science. 2016; 237:28-42. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cis.2016.08.009

[31] Ho YS, McKay G. Pseudo-second order model for sorption processes. Process biochemistry. 1999; 34(5):451-465.

[32] Fawzy MA, Gomaa M. Use of algal biorefinery waste and waste office paper in the development of xerogels: A low cost and eco-friendly biosorbent for the effective removal of congo red and Fe (II) from aqueous solutions. Journal of Environmental Management. 2020; 262:110380. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110380

[33] Vareda JP. On validity, physical meaning, mechanism insights and regression of adsorption kinetic models. Journal of Molecular Liquids. 2023; 376:121416. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2023.121416

[34] Zhang J. Physical insights into kinetic models of adsorption. Separation and Purification Technology. 2019; 229:115832. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2019.115832

[35] Musah M, Azeh Y, Mathew JT, Tanko MU. Adsorption kinetics and isotherm models: a review. CaJoST. 2022; 4(1):20-26. https://doi.org/10.4314/cajost.v4i1.3

[36] Farouq R, Yousef NS. Equilibrium and kinetics studies of adsorption of copper (II) ions on natural biosorbent. International Journal of Chemical Engineering and Applications. 2015; 6(5):319. https://doi.org/10.7763/IJCEA.2015.V6.503

[37] Santhi T, Manonmani S, Smitha T. Kinetics and isotherm studies on cationic dyes adsorption onto annona squmosa seed activated carbon. International Journal of Engineering Science and Technology. 2010; 2(3):287-295.